

COUNTY OF LA PORTE )

)§S:

2003 TERM

CAUSE NO. 46D04\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Plaintiff

vs.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Defendant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Garnishee-Defendant

\_\_\_\_\_  
Address

\_\_\_\_\_

**VERIFIED MOTION IN PROCEEDINGS SUPPLEMENTAL**

Comes now the Plaintiff in the above mentioned cause of action and says: Plaintiff is the owner of a Judgment against the defendant(s) recovered on the \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, upon which there is an unpaid balance in the sum of \$ \_\_\_\_\_, and has no cause to believe that levy of execution will satisfy Judgment.

That \_\_\_\_\_ Garnishee-Defendant herein has or will have an obligation to the Defendant subject to proceedings supplemental.

Wherefore, your affiant prays that the Garnishee-Defendant herein be Ordered to answer interrogatories submitted with his motion.

The undersigned, under the pains and penalties of perjury, affirm that the foregoing facts are true.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Plaintiff

**ORDER**

Upon proper filing of a verified motion in proceedings supplemental, the Court now finds that said motion is sufficient.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the above-named Defendant and Garnishee-Defendant appear before this Court on \_\_\_\_\_, 2003 at \_\_\_\_\_ A.M/P.M to answer as to the Defendant's non-exempt property subject to proceedings supplemental. In lieu of said appearance, the garnishee-defendant may answer the following interrogatories and return them to the Clerk of this Court by mail within twenty-five (25) days after service.

So ORDERED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2003.

\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM J. BOKLUND, JUDGE  
LA PORTE SUPERIOR COURT NO. 4

**INTERROGATORIES**

1. Is the Defendant employed by you? \_\_\_\_\_
2. Are there any prior garnishments against his wages? \_\_\_\_\_

The undersigned, under the pains and penalties of perjury, affirms that he is an employee of the garnishee-defendant herein authorized to answer the foregoing interrogatories and that said answers are true.

\_\_\_\_\_